UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/599,912 | 10/13/2006 | Michael Peszynski | US040182 | 6532 | |
| 24737 7590 09/15/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCLUSE MANOR NIV 105 10 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | PIHULIC, DANIEL T | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3662 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/15/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/599,912 | PESZYNSKI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dan Pihulic | 3662 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | | 0 0.0.2.0. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | William consideration. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | | |
| o) Claim(o) and dubject to rectnetion and, or | olosilon requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 October 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | | • • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20061013. 5) Information Disclosure Statement Application 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| 1 αμοι 14ο(3)/14/α1 Date 2000/10/10. | | | | | | |

Application Number: 10/599,912 Page 1 of 2

Art Unit: 3662

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign

country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of

application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marian, Jr. et al.

The Marian, Jr. et al. reference discloses the utilization of an ultrasound imaging probe

comprising:a first ultrasound imaging transducer array subassembly having a first image field of

view; and a second ultrasound imaging transducer array subassembly having a second image

field of view, the second ultrasound imaging transducer array subassembly being disposed at an

angle of ninety degrees (see Figure 31) as recited in claims 1, 32 and 36.

With regards to claims 2-31 and 33-35, the features are shown by figures 1-3, 28 and 31; column

4, lines 7-48; and column 12, line 65 to column 14, line 32; of the Marian, Jr. et al. reference.

3. Claims 1, 7, 9, 11-15 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Steward et al. The Steward et al. reference discloses the utilization of an ultrasound imaging

probe comprising:a first ultrasound imaging transducer array subassembly having a first image

field of view; and a second ultrasound imaging transducer array subassembly having a second

image field of view, the second ultrasound imaging transducer array subassembly being disposed

at an angle of 90 to 180 degrees (see Figure 3) as recited in claims 1 and 36.

Application Number: 10/599,912 Page 2 of 2

Art Unit: 3662

With regards to claims 7, 9 and 11-15, the features are shown by figures 3-7; column 7, lines 26

to column 8, line 19; of the Steward et al. reference.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dan Pihulic whose telephone number is 571-272-6977. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday and every other Monday and

Friday from 5:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza, can be reached on 571-272-6979.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

571-273-8300 for official responses, and

571-273-6977 for unofficial communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the telephone number 800-786-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

/Dan Pihulic/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3662